

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brot 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.USPLO.gov

APPLICATI	ON NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/809	009	03/16/2001	Jean-Marc Ascione	05725.0634-00	5103
22852	7590	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
	IEGAN, HE	NDERSON, FARAB	OW, GARRETT & DUNNER	ELHILO, EISA B	
	LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WAS	HINGTON,	DC 20001-4413		1751	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/809,009	ASCIONE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eisa B Elhilo	1751					
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 D	ecember 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
• •	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are rejected.	• • —						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
·— • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form P10-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive	ion No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
		·					
Attachment(s)	1						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/809,009

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

- 1 This action is responsive to the remarks filed on December 01, 2004.
- The rejection of claims 1-6 and 8-65 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de la Mettrie et al. (US 5,989,295) in view of (XP-000934522), is maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action mailed on 07/28/2004.
- The rejection of claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de la Mettrie et al. (US 5,989,295) in view of (XP-000934522) and further in view of Hutchins et al. (US 5,830,447), is maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action mailed on 07/28/2004.

Response to Arguments

4 Applicant's arguments filed 12/1/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based upon de la Mettrie et al. (US' 295) in view of (XP-522), Applicant argues that there is no motivation or suggestion to combine the references.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above argument because the primary reference of de la Mettrie et al. (US' 295) clearly suggests that other anionic amphiphilic polymers formed from a mixture of monomers may be used in the composition (see col. 4, lines 25-65). (XP-522) as a secondary reference clearly suggests the use of Acrylates/Methacrylates/Beheneth-25 Methacrylate Copolymer in dyeing formulation to offer more than one function such as thickening, stabilizing, suspension of ingredients and also to act as a clear gallant and as a polymeric emulsifier in the composition (see page 1.5th paragraph).

Application/Control Number: 09/809,009

Art Unit: 1751

1

ì

Therefore, there is a sufficient motivation to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Acrylates/Methacrylates/Beheneth-25 Methacrylate Copolymer in the dyeing composition with a reasonable expectation of success for improving the performance of the composition. Therefore, a prima facie case of obviousness has been established.

Applicant further argues that the addition of Aculyn 28 into the oxidative dye composition of de la Mettrie (US' 295) might destroy the already existing stability of the composition without establishing a new stable composition.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above argument because the applicant has not provided a data or showing to demonstrate that the addition of Acrylates/Methacrylates/Beheneth-25 Methacrylate Copolymer (Aculyn 28) in the dyeing composition of de la Mettire et al. (US' 295) may destroy the stability of the composition.

Therefore, a prima facie case of obviousness has been established in the absence of contrary.

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based upon de la Mettrie et al. (US' 295) in view of (XP-522) and further, in view of Hutchins et al. (US 5,830, 447), Applicant argues that there is no motivation or suggestion to combine the references.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above argument for the same reasons as mentioned above.

5 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/809,009

Art Unit: 1751

`

Page 4

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eisa Elhilo

February 3, 2005

52 Tillo

Mark Kopec Primary Examiner